# Research Challenges as GW Detectors Enter the Quantum Regime

David Blair

## Outline

- Background
  - Engineers Approach to GW
  - Time reversal invariance
- Free mass approach
  - Good approx for initial detectors, not valid for advanced detectors
- Free mass SQL vs resonant Mass SQL
- Advanced GW detectors
- Introduction to the new physics of optical springs and quantum measurement

# Current Status of GW Detectors

- Sensitivity ~ 100 quanta
- Most sensitive\* instruments ever created.
  - (\*smallest amount of detected energy)
- Advanced detectors plan to reach ~ hf where f ~100Hz.
- We are already in the quantum regime.

#### Improving Detector Sensitivity $\Delta L / L$



#### **Factor of 10 improvement in sensitivity**

©LIGO Scientific Collaboration

# History of GW

 Gravitational waves proved to exist by the "sticky beads" thought experiment.

– Bondi, Pirani, Feynman, Isaacson

• Gravitational waves in General Relativity deposit energy and hence must be real.

### Weber's Approach

- Time Reversal Invariance
  - Symmetry between a detector and transmitter
  - Detector efficiency measured by its relaxation time for GW emission (quadrupole formula)
  - Resonant bar relaxation time ~10<sup>30</sup> years
  - Detector: measure the GW work done on massive resonator as a change in its acoustic state.
  - Typical engineering approach

# **Cryogenic Resonant Bars**

- Huge improvement over Weber's bars by using cryogenic techniques.
- 1975 shock: Braginsky: sensitivity proposed was below the limit to measurement set by the uncertainty principle.
- Concept of the Quantum Limit and new ideas about quantum squeezing, quantum nondemolition
- Beating the Standard Quantum Limit shown to be feasible
- Bar detectors failed to sufficiently approach the SQL.

## Impedance Matching

- Electrical engineers are familiar with impedance matching.
- Impedance: force/velocity, voltage/current
- Impedance mismatches (transitions in impedance) across boundaries or between systems reduce the energy coupling
- Examples:
  - tuning forks : poor impedance matching (high quality factor).
  - Electrical power transformers (high impedance transmission line)
  - Acoustic horn

#### Impedance of Free Space

- Impedance of free space to electromagnetic waves is a fundamental constant.
- $Z_0 = \mu_0 c = 376.73031 \text{ Ohms}$
- Weber's demonstration of the long GW relaxation time of a perfect bar shows that the impedance of free space to GW is extremely high.
- Easy to see that  $Z_{G} \sim c^{3}/G \sim 10^{35}$  Ohms

Impedance matching for Electromagnetic Waves

- Optical anti-reflection coatings
- Radio antennas
  - May be broadband or narrowband

#### Impedance Mismatch

- In electronics high input impedance allows measurement of a weak signal with minimal extraction of energy.
  - Voltage detected is independent of system details
- Impedance mismatch between GW and GW detector means that the strain amplitude at the detector is independent of detector details

#### Impedance Picture For Bars

 Resonant Bars: double impedance matching problem:



• Search for suitable materials that optimised  $\rho v_s^{3}$ .Q

# **Differing Approaches**

- Bars: concept of energy absorption cross section
- Interferometers: concept of measuring motion of free masses
  - Good approximation in era of initial detectors
  - Bad approximation for Advanced detectors
- Confusing in all cases because it implies no energy coupling
- In reality energy coupling is fundamental.
- Advanced interferometers exceed the energy coupling of bars.

#### **Quantum Limits**



$$h_{sql} \sim \frac{1}{WL} \sqrt{\frac{h}{M}}$$

• Interferometer

 Normally expressed as free mass displacement sensing quantum limit

#### Advanced Laser Interferometer



# **Optical Spring**

# Estimate Spring Strength

- 1MW optical power
- Radiation pressure force =2P/c ~ 10mN
- Force acts over optical cavity linewidth ~
   1nm
- Spring constant k=F/x
- K= 10<sup>-2</sup>/10<sup>-9</sup> ~ 10<sup>7</sup>N/m
- 10<sup>3</sup> tonnes/m

# Changing the Dynamics

- Optical springs change detector dynamics
- They strengthen the interaction with the GW signal

   increased energy coupling
- They change the detector response.
  - Eg: reduced sensitivity at low frequency
- The quantum limit is no longer the Free Mass SQL
- The SQL formulae for bar and interferometer are unified, but interferometer has L=4km and  $v_s > 100$ km/s.

QuickTime[] and a decompressor are needed to see this picture.

Yanbei Chen

#### Quantum Measurement

- Free Mass SQL is a convenient benchmark
- High optical power enables better impedance matching between GW and detector
- Thus sensitivity is directly increased as radiation density in the detector arms increases.
- Quantum measurement offers further improvements:
  - 1. Optical squeezing changing the correlation between optical quadratures
  - 2. Ponderomotive squeezing: radiation pressure induced correlations between  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta p$
  - 3. Local readout: recovery of low frequency sensitivity lost by the dynamics of optical springs

#### Sub-quantum-limited interferometer



# Three-mode opto-acoustic parametric interactions



The conditions that enable strong optical springs also allow three mode interactions to occur.

These interactions are a threat to stability but offer both opportunities and challenges.

#### Conclusion

- There is energy exchange between GW and all types of detectors
- It would be useful if this energy formalism were further developed for interferometers
- Optical springs provide a new tool for improving GW detectors by allowing a whole range of new approaches such as double optical springs. *Chunnong Zhao will discuss Thursday.*
- We are threatened by three mode interactions which could cause instability if not controlled. *Ju Li will discuss Thursday*.